

The Edge of a Gamma Ray Burst Afterglow

P. Mészáros¹ & M.J. Rees²

¹Dpt. of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16803

²Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, U.K.

MNRAS, accepted 16 July 1998; submitted 4 June 1998

ABSTRACT

We discuss the formation of spectral features in the decelerating ejecta of gamma-ray bursts, including the possible effect of inhomogeneities. These should lead to blueshifted and broadened absorption edges and resonant features, especially from H and He. An external neutral ISM could produce detectable H and He, as well as Fe X-ray absorption edges and lines. Hypernova scenarios may be diagnosed by Fe K- α and H Ly- α emission lines.

Subject headings: Gamma-rays: Bursts - Line: Formation - X-rays: lines - Cosmology: Miscellaneous

1. Introduction

Gamma-ray bursts (GRB) have been localized through spectral line measurements of a presumed host galaxy in two cases so far (Metzger et al., 1997, Kulkarni, et al., 1998). The GRB environment and its effect on the detectability and spectral properties of the afterglow are the subject of debate (e.g. Livio, et al., 1997; Van Paradijs, J., 1998); a dense environment may be more typical of a massive stellar progenitor (Paczynski, 1997, Fryer & Woosley, 1998) while medium to low density environments could suggest compact merger progenitors (e.g. Bloom, Sigurdsson & Pols, 1998). The lack of an optical afterglow following a detected X-ray afterglow (e.g. GRB 970828) may be due to a steep temporal fall-off of the flux (Hurley, 1997) or may be connected with absorption in a dense gas around the GRB (Groot, et al., 1997). The detection of spectral signatures which can be associated with the GRB environment would be of great interest both for distance measurements and for helping to answer the above questions, while spectral features associated with the burst ejecta itself would provide information about the fireball dynamics and its chemical composition, and clues about the triggering mechanism and the progenitor system. In this paper we investigate the possibility of detectable spectral features arising in the shocked gas and in dense inhomogeneities coexisting with it, during the decelerating external shock phase of the burst afterglow. We also consider the absorption features arising from

gas outside the region ionized by the energetic photons emitted by the burst, and the reprocessing of X-ray and optical photons by the external environment, including possible signatures for a hypernova scenario.

2. Afterglow Continuum Radiation

The common model of GRB afterglows considers that their radiation arises in the decelerating blast wave of fireball material, produced e.g. by a compact binary merger or stellar collapse, impacting on an external medium. The radius at which deceleration begins is $r_d = 10^{16.7} (E_{52}/n_0 \theta_j^2)^{1/3} \eta_2^{-2/3}$ cm, at an observer time $t_d = r_d/(2c\eta^2) = 10^{1.9} (E_{52}/n_0 \theta_j^2)^{1/3} \eta_2^{-8/3}$ s, where $E_o = 10^{52} E_{52} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ is the initial fireball energy, $\eta = 10^2 \eta_2$ is the terminal coasting bulk Lorentz factor, $M_o = E/\eta c^2$ is the rest mass entrained in the initial fireball, and $n_{ext} = 1 n_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is the average external density. After the contact discontinuity starts to decelerate, a forward blast wave advances into the external medium and a reverse shock moves into the fireball gas. A similar situation arises also in the scenario where the mass and energy injection is not a delta function at E_o , M_o but rather continues for some time (much shorter than t_d), adding more mass and energy as the bulk Lorentz factor decreases,

$$M(> r) \propto \Gamma^{-w} \quad , \quad E(> r) \propto \Gamma^{-w+1} \quad , \quad (1)$$

(Rees & Mészáros , 1998) in which case the shock is continually reenergized (refreshed shocks) by the new energy and mass arriving at radius r (the reverse and forward shocks being assumed thin relative to r). The contact discontinuity radial coordinate r and its Lorentz factor Γ vary as $r = 10^{17.5+q_1} (E_{52}/n_0 \theta_j^2)^{2/h} \eta_2^{2(h-8)/h} t_5^{(h-6)/h}$ cm and $\Gamma = 10^{0.84+q_2} (E_{52}/n_0 \theta_j^2)^{1/h} \eta_2^{(h-8)/h} t_5^{-3/h}$. Here $h = 7 + A + w$, with $h = 8$ for the standard adiabatic impulsive model ($A = 1$, $w = 0$), and the correction factors $q_1 = 3.1[(h-6)/h - 1/4]$, $q_2 = 9.3[(1/h - 1/8)]$ are non-zero with $h \neq 8$ only for refreshed ($w \neq 0$) and/or radiative ($A = 0$) cases. The postshock comoving magnetic field, whose energy density is a fraction ξ of the thermal proton energy, is $B = 10^{-0.5+q_2} (E_{52}/\theta_j^2)^{1/h} n_0^{(h-2)/2h} \xi_{-2}^{1/2} \eta_2^{(h-8)/h} t_5^{-3/h}$ G, and the observer-frame synchrotron peak frequency is

$$\nu_m = 10^{14.32+4q_2} (E_{52}/\theta_j^2)^{4/h} n_0^{(h-8)/h} \eta_2^{4(h-8)/h} \xi_{-2}^{1/2} \kappa_3^2 t_5^{-12/h} \text{ Hz}. \quad (2)$$

The comoving synchrotron cooling time for electrons radiating at the observed frequency ν_m is $t_{sy} = 10^{5.36-3q_2} (E_{52}/\theta_j^2)^{-3/h} n_0^{(3-h)/h} \xi_{-2}^{-1} \kappa_3^{-1} \eta_2^{-3(h-8)/h} t_5^{9/h}$ s, while the comoving expansion time $t_{ex} = (r/c\Gamma) = 10^{5.86+q_1-q_2} (E_{52}/n_0 \theta_j^2)^{1/h} \eta_2^{(h-8)/h} t_5^{(h-3)/h}$ s. The comoving inverse Compton time $t_{ic} \sim 0.3 \xi_{-2}^{1/2} \kappa_3^{1/2} t_{sy}$ is of the same order as the synchrotron time, so its effect on the dynamics will be neglected. The synchrotron efficiency is high until $t_5 \sim 1$ and drops afterwards as $e_{sy} \sim (t_{ex}/t_{sy})$. In the regime where the shortest timescale is the expansion time, the comoving intensity $I'_{\nu_m} \sim 4n_0 \Gamma (\sigma_T c B^2 \kappa^2 \Gamma^2 / 8\pi) c t_{ex}$, and for a source at luminosity distance D the flux density at observer frequency ν_m is $F_{\nu_m} \simeq c^2 t^2 D^{-2} \Gamma^5 I'_{\nu_m}$, or

$$F_{\nu_m} = 10^{30.2+q_1+6q_2} D^{-2} (E_{52}/\theta_j^2)^{8/h} n_0^{(3h-16)/2h} \eta_2^{8(h-8)/h} \xi_{-2}^{1/2} t_5^{3(h-8)/h} \text{ erg/s/cm}^2/\text{Hz}, \quad (3)$$

while the source-frame luminosity is $L_{\nu_m} = 4\pi\theta_j^2 D^2 F_{\nu_m}$. The continuum flux at detector frequency ν_d for a spectrum of the form $\propto \nu^\alpha$ is $F_{\nu_d} \sim F_{\nu_m}(\nu/\nu_d)^\beta \propto t^\delta$, decreasing as a power law in time after the peak passes through the detector band ν_d (where δ depends on β as well as on W , A and possibly other parameters, e.g. Wijers, Rees & Mészáros, 1997, Vietri, 1997, Katz & Piran, 1997, Sari, 1997, Mészáros, Rees & Wijers 1998, Rees & Mészáros, 1998).

3. Absorption in the decelerating ejecta

The baryon density downstream of the forward blast wave and of the reverse shock, as long as the expansion remains relativistic, is dominated by the ejecta, rather than by baryons swept up in the external shock. Their total number is $N_p(r) = N_{po}(\Gamma(r)/\Gamma_o)^{-w}$, where $N_{po} = (E_o/\eta m_p c^2)$ is the value for an impulsive fireball and E_o and $\Gamma_o = \eta$ are the initial energy and Lorentz factor. The corresponding baryon column density $\Sigma_p = N_p/(4\pi\theta_j^2 r^2)$ is

$$\Sigma_p = 10^{16.8+q_3-2q_1} E_{52}^{(h-w-4)/h} \theta_j^{(w+4-2h)/h} n_0^{(w+4)/h} \eta_2^{(8w-5h+32)/h} t_5^{(3w-2h+12)/h} \text{cm}^{-2}, \quad (4)$$

where $q_3 = 9.3w/h$. The refreshed case ($w \neq 0$) is interesting because Σ_p can be larger than in the impulsive case. The mean comoving baryon density downstream of the reverse shock is $n_p = \Sigma_p/ct_{ex}$ or

$$n_p = 10^{0.44-3q_1+q_2+q_3} E_{52}^{(h-w-5)/h} \theta_j^{(w+5-2h)/h} n_0^{(w+5)/h} \eta_2^{(8w-6h+40)/h} t_5^{3(w-h+5)/h} \text{cm}^{-3}. \quad (5)$$

For $t_5 \lesssim 1$ the cooling time $t_{cool} < t_{ex}$, and the comoving electron inverse Compton temperature is

$$T_{ic} = h\nu_m/h\Gamma = 10^{3.1+3q_2} (E_{52}/\theta_j^2)^{3/h} n_0^{(h-6)/h} \eta_2^{3(h-8)/h} \xi_{-2}^{1/2} \kappa_3^2 t_5^{-9/h} \text{K}, \quad (6)$$

while the black-body temperature is of order $T_{bb} \sim 10^{2.5-q_1/4+2q_2} \text{K}$. At the (adiabatic postshock) density (5) the recombination time $t_{rec} \sim 3 \times 10^{11} T_3^{1/2} Z^{-2} n_p^{-1} \text{s}$ exceeds the comoving expansion time. However, if $t_{cool} < t_{ex}$ and there is good coupling between protons and electrons, then Compton cooling behind the shock affects the protons as well. The shock would then be radiative ($A = 0$) and the gas adjusts in pressure equilibrium to a density

$$n_{eq} \sim n_o m_p c^2 \Gamma / k T_{ic} \sim 10^{10.6-2q_2} (E_{52}/\theta_j^2)^{-2/h} n_0^{(h+4)/2h} \eta_2^{-2(h-8)/h} t_5^{6/h} \text{cm}^{-3}. \quad (7)$$

At this density (7) the recombination time is much shorter than the expansion time, the ionization parameter in the comoving frame $\Xi = L_{\nu_m} \nu_m / n_b r^2 \Gamma^2 \lesssim 1$, and the hydrogen as well as heavier elements in the shocked ejecta will be in their neutral state (Kallman & McCray, 1982).

The outflow may also include denser blobs or filaments of thermal material, entrained from the surrounding debris torus or condensed through instabilities in the later stages of the outflow. Previously (Mészáros & Rees, 1998) we considered the effect of such blobs in internal shocks at $r \sim 10^{13} \text{cm}$ leading to γ -ray emission. Here we consider the effects of blobs that catch up with external shocks from the initial part of the ejecta around $r \sim 10^{16} - 10^{17} \text{cm}$. One cannot

predict how much material would be present in such blobs, but possible instabilities affecting them would be minimized when their Lorentz factor Γ_b is close to that of the surrounding flow. For an equipartition magnetic field B in the flow frame Γ , the pressure equilibrium blob density at the inverse Compton temperature in its comoving frame is

$$n_b \sim (B^2/8\pi kT_{ic})(\Gamma_b/\Gamma) = 10^{12.1}(\Gamma_b/\Gamma)n_0^{1/2}\kappa_3^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (8)$$

If the smoothed-out blob density seen in the flow frame is taken to be a fraction α of the mean flow density, $\bar{n}_b = \alpha n_p(\Gamma/\Gamma_b)$, the smoothed-out baryon column density in blobs is

$$\bar{\Sigma}_b = \alpha \Sigma_p (\Gamma/\Gamma_b)^2, \quad (9)$$

c.f. equation (4). The filling factor of such blobs, $f_v = (\bar{n}_b/n_b)$ is very small, of order $10^{-11.7-3q_1+q_2+q_3}$, while the surface covering factor $f_s = \bar{\Sigma}_b/(n_b r_b)$ can be larger than unity for blob radii smaller than $r_b = \alpha \Sigma_p n_b^{-1}(\Gamma/\Gamma_b)^2$, which is of order $\sim 10^{5-2q_2+q_3} \text{ cm}$, for nominal parameters taken at $t_5 \sim 1$. At these blob densities and temperatures the recombination time is very short compared to expansion times, and also the ionization parameter in the blob comoving frame $\Xi_b = L_{\nu_m} \nu_m / n_b r_b^2 \Gamma_b^2 \leq 1$, so hydrogen, and also heavier elements inside the blobs, will be in their neutral state.

For hydrogenic atoms the absorption cross section at threshold is $\sigma_a = 7.9 \times 10^{-18} Z^{-2}$ where Z is the effective ionic charge. If the fraction of ions with charge Z is x_z , the opacity at the ionization edge is

$$\tau_Z \sim 0.8 x_z Z^{-2} \Sigma_{17} \propto t_5^{(-2h+12+3w)/h}, \quad (10)$$

where the baryon column density $\Sigma_{17} = (\Sigma/10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ may be due either to the neutral diffuse gas, if e-p coupling is effective before the adiabatic stage (equation [4]), or to blobs and filaments (equation [9]) (absorption from blobs would occur for blob velocities $\Gamma_b \geq \Gamma(t)$). Note that for $t_5 < 1$ or for continued input ($w \neq 0$, $h > 8$) Σ could be larger than 10^{17} cm^{-2} . For H, the observed frequency of the edge would be at $13.6\Gamma \text{ eV}$, with Γ given below equation (1), or around $0.1(1+z)^{-1} \text{ KeV}$ at $t_5 \sim 1$. For HeII, whose ionization edge is 54.4 eV at rest, the observed edge is around $0.4(1+z)^{-1} \text{ KeV}$ in the observer frame. If the blobs are made up predominantly of heavy metals, e.g. Fe, the rest-frame edge is near 9.2 KeV , or

$$h\nu_Z \sim 64 (Z/26)^2 (1+z)^{-1} 10^{q_2} (E_{52}/n_0 \theta_j^2)^{1/h} \eta_2^{(h-8)/h} t_5^{-3/h} \text{ KeV} \quad (11)$$

in the observer frame, for ions of charge Z in a GRB at redshift z . The edges will generally not be sharp, since they will be observed from a ring-like region around the edges of the front hemisphere of the remnant (Panaïtescu & Mészáros, 1998), over which the simultaneously observed radiation samples a bulk Lorentz factor range of at least $\Delta\Gamma/\Gamma \sim \Delta\nu/\nu \sim 0.3$. The time dependences of equations (10, 11) refer to the diffuse gas and also to blobs, provided the density (or α parameter) of the latter is appreciable over the range of values $\Gamma_b \geq \Gamma(t)$. Resonant lines from Ly- α lines of H and He have cross sections comparable to those for ionization, and would be expected at energies redwards of the absorption edges. They absorb over a narrow energy range and will therefore

produce only a shallow and wide trough due to the above Lorentz factor smearing. On the other hand, H and He emission lines from recombination in the dense cooled ejecta or in blobs should be more prominent, even when broadened by $\Delta\nu/\nu \sim 0.3$, since they correspond to a much larger amount of energy taken out from the continuum bluewards of the absorption edges. Such broad emission features would enhance the detectability of the drop seen just bluewards of it from the continuum absorption.

4. Absorption in an External Neutral Medium

The X-ray and UV photons from GRB will ionize the surrounding medium out to a radius which can be estimated from the total number of ionizing photons produced. For simplicity, we assume in this section a canonical adiabatic impulsive afterglow ($h = 8$, $A = 1$, $w = 0$). From (3) the synchrotron peak luminosity is $L_{\nu_m} = 4\pi D^2 F_{\nu_m} \sim 10^{31} E_{52} n_0^{1/2} \xi_{-2}^{1/2} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$, and the time when ν_m reaches 13.6 eV is (equation [2]) $t_{13.6} \sim 1.6 \times 10^4 (E_{52}/\theta_j^2)^{1/3} \xi_{-2}^{3/4} \kappa_3^3 \text{ s}$. The total number of ionizing photons produced is $N_i \sim L_{\nu_m} t_{13.6}/h \sim 2.4 \times 10^{61} E_{52}^{4/3} \theta_j^{-2/3} n_0^{1/2} \xi_{-2}^{5/4} \kappa_3^2$. Loeb & Perna (1998) have calculated the time dependence of the equivalent widths of atomic lines for an afterglow flux time dependence $\propto t^{-3/4}$, for which the largest (integrated) contribution to the ionization happens at late times, and show that for finite cloud sizes of column density $\Sigma_p \sim 3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ the equivalent widths would vary considerably over timescales of days to weeks. Recent afterglows indicate that the more commonly observed continuum flux time dependences are steeper than t^{-1} , so that most of the ionizing photons are created at early times, within the first few hours. In this case, after an initial transient similar to that described by Loeb & Perna (1998), one expects the edges and equivalent widths to stabilize; we consider their behavior after this time, but before recombination occurs (e.g. few hours $\lesssim t \lesssim \text{year}$).

The ISM may generally extend beyond the finite ionized region considered by Loeb & Perna (1998), in which case the ionization structure would be photon-bounded, rather than density-bounded, i.e. there is neutral matter beyond the ionization zone. The ionization radius is

$$R_i \sim (N_i/4n_0\theta_j^2)^{1/3} \simeq 2 \times 10^{20} E_{52}^{4/9} n_0^{-1/6} \xi_{-2}^{5/12} \kappa_3 \text{ cm} . \quad (12)$$

The $n_0^{-1/6}$ weak dependence is model-specific (equation [3]), and could be $n_0^{-1/3}$ in a more generic source. For a typical (neutral) column density $\Sigma_n = 10^{20} \Sigma_{n20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (beyond R_i , but within the galaxy, e.g. the neutral component of a galactic disk) one gets a K edge optical depth

$$\tau_Z \sim 0.8 \times 10^3 x_z Z^{-2} \Sigma_{n20} , \quad (13)$$

where x_z is the fraction of the species with effective nuclear charge Z . This is large for H and He, while if $\Sigma_n \sim 2 \times 10^{21}$ (for which the local visual absorption $A_v = 5 \times 10^{-22} \Sigma_n$ would reach one magnitude) the optical depth of Fe at solar abundances ($x_{Fe,\odot} = 3 \times 10^{-4}$) would be $\tau_{Fe} \sim 0.1$, and similarly for other metals. Unlike the blue-shifted ejecta edges of equation (11), the ISM

K-edge observed energy is

$$h\nu_Z \sim 13.6 Z^2(1+z)^{-1} \text{ eV} \sim 9.2 (Z/26)^2(1+z)^{-1} \text{ KeV}, \quad (14)$$

bluewards of which the flux is blanketed up to $\nu/\nu_z \sim 10 Z^{-2/3}(x_z \Sigma_{n20})^{1/3}$.

Resonant Ly- α absorption from hydrogen in the neutral ISM will be conspicuous for high enough column densities. The Doppler broadened Ly- α would have a large optical depth at line center, the equivalent widths being dominated by the damping wings, in the square-root regime of the curve of growth, $(W_\nu/\nu) = (r_e \lambda_{lu}^2 c^{-1} f_{lu} A_{ul} \Sigma)^{1/2}$. For analogous hydrogen-like K- α resonant transitions in other species,

$$(W_\nu/\nu) = 8.3 \times 10^{-13} (x_z \Sigma_n)^{1/2} \simeq 10^{-2} x_z^{1/2} \Sigma_{n20}^{1/2}. \quad (15)$$

For $\Sigma_{n20} \gtrsim 1$ one gets H equivalent widths of order tens of Angstroms. Similar features in He, and in other elements like C, would be blocked out by HII continuum absorption at the same frequencies. But this absorption would not affect Fe, and the 6.7 keV X-ray Fe K- α widths at solar abundances are of order tens of eV. Other possibly-detectable features include the Fe edge at 9.1 keV and the O VIII edge at 0.871 keV. Some Si lines at 1.66 keV and 2.28 keV may also be detectable. For continuum fluxes $\propto t^{-1.2}$ or steeper, these should remain constant after the first few hours.

X-ray photons can also be re-emitted by Fe fluorescent inner-shell scattering in the external ISM, and much interest has been raised by the possibility of X-ray and UV/O emission lines from this. The source X-ray continuum can be approximated as a pulse of radial width $c\delta t \sim 10^{13} \delta t_3$ cm (for X-ray light curves decaying faster than t^{-1}). This X-ray pulse occupies the volume between the two paraboloids given by the equal-arrival time surfaces $r(1 - \cos \theta) = ct$ and the same for $c(t + \delta t)$, where t is observer time, r is distance from source center and θ is the polar angle variable (we ignore here effects from a possible jet opening angle θ_j). The base of the paraboloid towards the observer is the ionization radius R_i (equation [12]), and the medium outside the outer paraboloid and/or R_i is neutral. The total number of X-ray continuum photons emitted over 4π is $N_x \sim L_{\nu_m} h^{-1} \delta t \sim 10^{60} E_{52}^{3/2} n_0^{1/2} \xi_2 \kappa_3^2$ photons. The fraction incident on the paraboloid is roughly $N_x \theta^2 \sim 10^{53} t_3 R_{i20}^{-1}$ ph ($\theta \ll 1$, thin paraboloids). The optical depth of the paraboloid wall is $\tau_f \sim n_0 x_{Fe} \sigma_f \delta r \sim n_0 x_{Fe} \sigma_f R_i \delta t / t \sim 6 \times 10^{-4} x_{-3.5} n_0 R_{i20} \delta t_3 t_3^{-1}$, where $\sigma_f \sim 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$. The number of fluorescent X-rays is $N_f \sim N_x \theta^2 \tau_f \sim 3 \times 10^{49} x_{-3.5} n_0 \delta t_3$ and the luminosity $L_f \sim 3 \times 10^{38} x_{-3.5} n_0 \text{ erg/s}$ gives a flux $F_f \sim 3 \times 10^{-19} E_{52}^{3/2} n_0^{3/2} x_{-3.5} \xi_{-2} \kappa_3^2 D_{28}^{-2} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \sim \text{constant}$. A similar estimate is obtained using the photons scattered by the “back” (near) half of the paraboloid. The light pulse volume between the paraboloids is $\delta V = (\pi/3) c^3 t^3 [(1 + \delta t/t)^3 - 1] [(R_i/ct)^2 - 1] \sim \pi R_i^2 c \delta t$, constant for $t \gg \delta t$. An upper limit on the fluorescent photons that can be produced is $N_{f,m} \lesssim \delta V n_0 Z x_{Fe} \sim 3 \times 10^{52} n_0 x_{-3.5} R_{i,20}^2 (Z/26) \delta t_3$ ph, each Fe scattering 26 photons before being fully ionized (recombinations take too long at ISM densities; this is also why H and He emission lines from the ISM outside R_i are negligible). With a timescale $10^3 \delta t_3 \text{ s}$ the luminosity is $L_{f,m} \sim 3 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg/s}$,

and the flux upper limit is $F_{f,m} \sim 3 \times 10^{-16} E_{52}^{4/9} n_0^{5/6} x_{-3.5} \xi_{-2}^{5/12} \kappa_3 D_{28}^{-2}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. The continuum is $F_x \sim 10^{-10} E_{52} n_0^{1/2} \xi_{-2}^{1/2} D_{28}^{-2}$ erg cm⁻² KeV⁻¹ s⁻¹, and the equivalent width is $W_\nu/\nu \lesssim 10^{-6} E_{52}^{-5/9} n_0^{1/3} x_{-3.5} \xi_{-2}^{-1/12} \kappa_3$. Fluorescent emission lines from the ISM would thus be hard to detect, unless the host galaxy ISM environment is exceptionally dense (leading to high optical extinction), or metal-rich. If detected, they would offer useful information on the nature of (and location within) the host galaxy.

5. Possible Hypernova Signatures

In contrast to the previous discussion, where ISM conditions were assumed, the lines and edges may be more prominent in a hypernova scenario, because the circumburst environment could be much denser. Whereas NS-NS or NS-BH mergers can lead to a BH + torus system producing magnetic fireballs of $10^{53} - 10^{54}$ ergs (e.g. Mészáros & Rees, 1997; Narayan, Paczyński & Piran, 1992), in the hypernova scenario a similar system and energy is derived from the collapse of a single or binary fast rotating star, or a He-BH merger (Paczynski, 1997, 1998; Fryer & Woosley, 1998), i.e. it involves a close stellar companion and/or a massive envelope. The extent of the dispersed medium should depend on whether there has been a radiation-driven (slow) outflow before the burst, such as expected if the event were preceded by inward spiralling of a white dwarf or BH through a companion's atmosphere. Consider, as an example, a dispersed envelope of $1M_\odot$ or $N_a \sim 10^{57}$ nucleons spread over a radius $3 \times 10^{15} r_{15.5}$ cm. Its density is $n \sim 10^{10} M_0 r_{15.5}^{-3}$ cm⁻³, with a Thompson depth $\tau_T \sim \text{few}$. The fireball propagates in a less dense funnel along the rotational axis, and for $n_0 \sim 10^2 n_{f2}$, $\eta_2 \gtrsim 1$ the deceleration radius and X/O afterglow radius are inside the envelope. At observer times $t \sim 10^5 t_5$ s most of the afterglow photons are optical, and the envelope electron inverse Compton temperature is $T \sim 10^4$ K. The ionization parameter $\Xi \sim L/nr^2 \sim 10^6$ so Fe is mostly Fe XXVI. The recombination time is $t_{rec} \sim 5 \times 10^2 T_4^{1/2} Z^{-2} n_{10}^{-1}$ s, which is ~ 1 s for Fe. Each Fe ion can reprocess $t/t_{rec} \sim 10^5 t_5$ continuum X-ray photons into lines, and the total number of Fe recombinations is $N_{rec,Fe} \sim N_a x_{Fe}(t/t_{rec}) \sim 10^{59} M_0 x_{-3} T_4^{-1/2} n_{10}$. The number of continuum X-ray photons at $t \sim 10^5$ s is $N_x \sim 10^{61} E_{52} n_{f2}^{1/2}$ ph. A fraction $\sim 10^{-2} E_{52}^{-1} n_{f2}^{-1/2} M_0 x_{-3} n_{10}$ of the X-ray continuum can thus be reprocessed into Fe lines, which is significant. For H, the recombination time is $\sim 5 \times 10^2$ s, and the number of H recombinations at time t is $N_{rec,H} \sim N_a(t/t_{rec}) \sim 2 \times 10^{60} M_0 T_4^{-1/2} n_{10}$, while the number of optical continuum photons is $\sim 10^{63} E_{52} n_{f2}^{1/2}$, so H recombinations can also produce a Ly- α flux significant compared to the continuum flux. The equivalent widths are $W_\nu/\nu \sim 10^{-2}$ for Fe K- α and $W_\nu/\nu \sim 10^{-3}$ for H Ly- α . Besides these emission lines, absorption edges may also be seen if the observer line of sight goes through the envelope.

A variant of this scenario occurs when the envelope is more massive and is Thompson optically thick, e.g. there is a funnel created by a puffed up companion or a common envelope, with the GRB at the center. In this case, when the beaming angle Γ^{-1} is wider than the funnel, a

substantial fraction of the emitted X-ray and optical continuum would be reflected from the funnel walls. By analogy with AGN reflection models (e.g. Ross and Fabian, 1993) one would expect detectable Fe edges and K- α features (at 9.1 and 6.7 keV respectively), as well as a Ly edge and Ly- α features imprinted in the reflected optical component.

6. Discussion

We have shown that the decelerating external shock of a fireball afterglow may produce a significant absorption edge in the cooled shocked ejecta, as long as cooling is faster than adiabatic losses and protons are well coupled to electrons. Absorption edges can also arise from cool, dense blobs or filaments in pressure equilibrium with the shocked smooth ejecta. These edges can reach optical depths of order unity and will be blue-shifted by a mean bulk Lorentz factor of typically $\Gamma \sim 5 - 7$ around $t \sim 1$ day, with larger optical depths and blue-shifts at earlier times. The edges will be broadened by the spread $\Delta\nu/\nu \sim \Delta\Gamma/\Gamma \sim 0.3$ in the ring of observed material. The H, He and Fe edges would be at energies $\sim (0.1, 0.4, 9.2)(1+z)^{-1}t_5^{-3/8}$ KeV for a standard adiabatic remnant around $t \sim 10^5 t_5$ s or 1 day (equation [11]). Strong blueshifted Fe edges would only be expected in the presence of metal-rich blobs, whereas H and He edges could arise in the diffuse cooled ejecta. The latter would be a diagnostic for the $A = 0$ radiative dynamical regime (which, e.g., for a $w = 0$ no-injection case in a homogeneous external medium evolves as $\Gamma \propto r^{-3}$).

Information on the dynamics of the explosion may be obtained from the time dependence of the edge characteristics. For instance, it may be possible to distinguish between an impulsive adiabatic case ($A = 1, w = 0, h = 8$), an impulsive radiative case ($A = 0, w = 0, h = 7$) and a refreshed shock case (say $A = 1, w = 2, h = 10$ as an example) because the edge depth (equation [10]) would vary as $t^{-1/2}$, $t^{-2/7}$ or $t^{-2/10}$, while the edge energies (equation [11]) would vary as $t^{-3/8}$, $t^{-3/7}$, $t^{-3/10}$, the third set of numbers being for this particular w .

The energetic photons will ionize the surrounding matter out to a radius $R_i \sim 60E_{52}^{4/9}n_0^{-1/6}$ pc (equation [12]), and after a brief initial transient lasting less than a few hours, reprocessing would be negligible except in the external environment. The neutral gas outside this ionized region will produce the absorption edges and resonant absorption lines typical of the ISM in the host galaxy. These would be non-blueshifted absorption features, unaffected by any broadening from bulk Lorentz factor smearing in the afterglow. They should be affected only by a cosmological redshift, and would thus provide valuable distance information. The H Lyman continuum optical depths can be very substantial for modest galactic disk column densities, as are the Ly- α absorption equivalent widths (equations [13,15]), which would be in the optical range for $z \gtrsim 3$. Reprocessed emission lines from the ISM would be hard to detect, unless the event occurs in an exceptionally dense or metal-rich environment. If detected, they would offer useful information on the nature of, and location within, the host galaxy.

A hypernova scenario could be distinguished by the presence of a significant flux of Fe K- α

and H Ly- α emission lines, reprocessed by a moderately Thompson optically thick companion or envelope. For a more massive, Thompson optically thick envelope, a significant reflected component would be expected, in which Fe absorption edges and K- α features, as well as hydrogen Lyman edge and Ly- α features would be imprinted.

This research has been supported by NASA NAG5-2857 and the Royal Society. We are grateful to B. Paczyński, G. Garmire and members of the Swift team for stimulating comments

REFERENCES

- Bloom, J., Sigurdsson, S. & Pols, O., 1998, M.N.R.A.S., subm (astro-ph/9805222)
- Fryer, C. & Woosley, S., 1998, subm to Ap.J.(Lett.), (astro-ph/9804167)
- Groot, P., et al., 1997, in Gamma-Ray Bursts, AIP Conf. Proc. 428, Meegan, C., Preece, R. & Koshut, T., eds., 1997 (AIP: New York), 557
- Hurley, K., 1997, in Gamma-Ray Bursts, AIP Conf. Proc. 428, Meegan, C., Preece, R. & Koshut, T., eds., 1997 (AIP: New York), 387
- Kallman, T. & McCray, R., 1982, ApJ (Supp.) 50, 263
- Katz, J. & Piran, T., 1997, Ap.J., 490, 772
- Kulkarni, S., et al., 1998, Nature, 393, 35
- Livio, M., et al., 1997, in Gamma-Ray Bursts, AIP Conf. Proc. 428, Meegan, C., Preece, R. & Koshut, T., eds., 1997 (AIP: New York), 483
- Loeb, A. & Perna, R. 1998, ApJL, in press (astro-ph/9708159)
- Mészáros, P. & Rees, M.J., 1997, Ap.J.(Letters), 482, L29
- Mészáros, P. & Rees, M.J., 1998, Ap.J.(Letters), in press. (astro-ph/9804119)
- Mészáros, P., Rees, M.J. & Wijers, R.A.M.J., 1998, Ap.J., 499, 301 (astro-ph/9709273)
- Metzger, M. et al., 1997, Nature, 387, 878
- Narayan, R., Paczyński, B. & Piran, T. 1992, Ap.J.(Letters), 395, L83.
- Paczyński, B., 1997, in Gamma-Ray Bursts, AIP Conf. Proc. 428, Meegan, C., Preece, R. & Koshut, T., eds., 1997 (AIP: New York), 783
- Paczyński, B., 1998, ApJ, 494, L45

- Rees, M.J. & Mészáros, P., 1998, Ap.J.(Letters), in press (astro-ph/9712252)
- Ross, R. & Fabian, A.C., 1993, M.N.R.A.S., 261, 74
- Sari, R., 1997, Ap.J.(Letters), 489, L37
- Van Paradijs, J., 1998, review talk at Columbus APS meeting.
- Vietri, M., 1997, Ap.J. (Letters), 488, L105
- Wijers, R.A.M.J., Rees, M.J. & Mészáros, P., 1997, M.N.R.A.S., 288, L51